IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FARAD CONTINUNATION SHEET
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.290 OF 2005

Sanjeev P. Mehta ...Applicant
vs.
Mohan Belwalkar & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.Nitin P. Deshpande for the Applicant
Mr.U.V.Nikam, A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 28,2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant. The Revision application is filed at the instance of the original complainant. The challenge in this Revision Application is to the order of acquittal in a State prosecution for offences punishable under sections 143,147, 148, 149, 341, 452, 448, 323, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. After having heard the Advocate for the Applicant and after perusing the findings recorded by the Trial Court, I find that no case is made out for interference. This is not a case where any manifest illegality or procedural irregularity has been committed by the Trial Court. The Trial Court has made certain observations regarding the manner in which the investigating machinery has acted by dispossessing the accused persons. No case is made out for interference. Revision application is rejected.

JUDGE